



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. |
|--|-------------|----------------------|------------------------------|------------------------|
| 10/636,005 | 08/07/2003 | Steven A. Wright | 60027.0305US01/BS 030082 | 1540 |
| 39262 7590 06/24/2008 MERCHANT & GOULD BELL SOUTH CORPORATION P.O. BOX 2903 MINNEAPOLIS, MN 55402 | | | EXAMINER BELANI, KISHIN G | |
| | | | ART UNIT 2143 | PAPER NUMBER |
| | | | MAIL DATE 06/24/2008 | DELIVERY MODE PAPER |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

| | | | |
|--------------------------|--------------------------------------|--------------------------------------|--|
| Interview Summary | Application No. 10/636,005 | Applicant(s) WRIGHT ET AL. | |
| | Examiner KISHIN G. BELANI | Art Unit 2143 | |

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

- (1) KISHIN G. BELANI. (3) D. Kent Stier (Reg. # 50,640).
 (2) Yuri Eliezer. (4) ____.

Date of Interview: 19 June 2008.

Type: a) ☒ Telephonic b) ☐ Video Conference
 c) ☐ Personal [copy given to: 1) ☐ applicant 2) ☐ applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) ☐ Yes e) ☒ No.
 If Yes, brief description: _____.

Claim(s) discussed: 1.

Identification of prior art discussed: Saussy.

Agreement with respect to the claims f) ☐ was reached. g) ☐ was not reached. h) ☒ N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: Discussed the proposed amendments to the independent claims after the non-final rejection of the RCE dated 03/25/2008, specifically claim 1 amendments, and whether or not the Saussy reference reads on the proposed amendments. The applicants agreed to further amend the claims to overcome the disclosed features of amended claim1 in the Saussy reference.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.

/Ashok B. Patel/
 Primary Examiner, Art Unit 2154

Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an Attachment to a signed Office action.

Examiner's signature, if required